

DJC LISTENING SESSIONS VOTING RESULTS & SUMMARY OF ATTENDANCE

(Tabulated by Pam Eitland)

Between November 3, 2003 and December 5, 2003 the Division of Juvenile Corrections (DJC) conducted five Listening Sessions at locations throughout the state. Invitees included county Human Service Directors and staff, judges, prosecutors, Public Defenders, Juvenile Justice Commission members, WJCIA board members, WJOA board members and other partners in Juvenile Justice. Thirty counties were represented at the sessions, and a total of 93 non-DOC people attended. DOC staff in attendance included representatives of administration, institution and field staff and union officials.

The Listening Sessions were designed to provide DJC with information in three key areas. They are:

1. Juvenile Justice programs that are working;
2. Building partnerships; and
3. Planning for the future.

The information following includes:

- a) Tabulation of the “voting” results conducted at the Listening Sessions. These “votes” were cast by those participating in response to short questions posted on newsprint and were meant to provide one additional way for DJC to get some quick feedback on key issues. Note that (1) in some of the questions, people were allowed to cast multiple votes (e.g. three most valuable programs), and (2) in one case the question was changed slightly between the first and final sessions (clarifying what was meant by cognitive-behavioral programming). With some exceptions as can be seen in the specific results following, there are some patterns that emerged:
 - ✓ The most consistent “vote” across the sessions was in the area of predicting future populations, in which the vast majority of participants indicated there would be about the same or fewer youth coming to corrections in the next 3 years.
 - ✓ There was strong support across all groups for more family and reintegration services and for sex offender treatment programming.
 - ✓ There was support across all groups for more short-term, focused programming in a variety of areas (e.g. mental health services, sex offender treatment, stabilization/assessment)
- b) A summary of the number and roles of participants in the Listening Sessions. The strongest representation came from County Human Service staff (with 30 different counties represented).

LISTENING SESSIONS VOTING RESULTS						
	<u>MADISON</u>	<u>EAU CLAIRE</u>	<u>APPLETON</u>	<u>MILWAUKEE</u>	<u>RHINE- LANDER</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>New/Additional Programs</u>						
None (Should be done locally)	0	7	0	3	0	10
Short Term Assessment & Stabilization	4	4	5	8	5	26
More Experiential Education	1	2	1	1	3	8
Short Term AODA	0	0	3	2	1	6
Short Term Sex Offender	2	10	2	2	1	17
Scared Straight Type Programs	0	0	1	0	0	1
More Joint Case Planning Options	6	1	2	3	0	12
More Family & Reintegration Services	2	6	6	10	10	34
Total(s)	15	30	20	29	20	114

Other Program Addition Recommendations:

None recommended in Madison

- De-centralization of services - away from sending kids to institutions.
- Major program change would be along the lines of restorative justice - maybe a workgroup to identify
- Bring back the boot camp program military style
- Extend SPRITE beyond 3-4 weeks
- Funding and technical assistance for recruiting, maintaining, training, and paying foster homes for teens (above rates available now)
- More SPRITE-like interventions for girls
- Mental health programs for boys to be provided differently at LHS and MJTC
- Revamp the sex offender treatment program to incorporate the latest work on juvenile offenders and best practice in work with adolescents
- Better mental health service delivery in the institutions as well as better mental health options
- Programs that incorporate local, community-based programs as partners
- More mental health services
- More vocational/job training so kids believe they have a future
- Sex offender treatment for all kids with sex offender issues
- Offer Corrective Thinking/Cognitive Intervention in the detention cottage at LHS. Any type of programming there would be beneficial.

	<u>MADISON</u>	<u>EAU CLAIRE</u>	<u>APPLETON</u>	<u>MILWAUKEE</u>	<u>RHINE- LANDER</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Important JCI Programs</u>						
Secure Confinement	2	2	8	0	1	13
Educational & Vocational	5	0	5	4	1	15
Sex Offender Treatment	1	10	9	6	9	35
AODA Treatment	5	6	5	4	7	27
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	3	8	12	23
Victim Impact/Accountability	2	8	3	0	3	16
Mental Health Services	9	4	4	12	4	33
Social Skill Development	3	0	0	1	3	7
Aggression Replacement Training	5	1	1	2	1	10
Family Therapy & Engagement	5	6	0	10	2	23
Total Number of Votes	37	37	38	47	43	202
<u>Identify The Most Important Program</u>						<u>Total</u>
Secure Confinement			6			6
Educational & Vocational	2		2		1	4
Sex Offender Treatment			2	3	3	5
AODA Treatment	2			1	2	3
Cognitive-Behavioral				2	4	2
Victim Impact/Accountability						0
Mental Health Services	3			4		7
Social Skill Development						0
Aggression Replacement Training	1	7				8
Family Therapy & Engagement	2	1		2		5

<u>Predicting Commitments to JCI's</u>	<u>MADISON</u>	<u>EAU CLAIRE</u>	<u>APPLETON</u>	<u>MILWAUKEE</u>	<u>RHINE-LANDER</u>	<u>Total</u>
More Likely to Place Boys	0	0	2	0	4	6
Little or No Change	3	0	0	0	0	3
Less Likely to Place Boys	7	15	7	11	6	46
More Likely to Place Girls	2	2	2	0	2	8
Little or No Change	3	0	0	0	0	3
Less Likely to Place Girls	5	13	7	11	10	46

ATTENDANCE AT DJC LISTENING SESSIONS

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>MADISON</u>	<u>EAU CLAIRE</u>	<u>APPLETON</u>	<u>MILWAUKEE</u>	<u>RHINELANDER</u>
Brown			1		
Chippewa		1			
Clark		1			
Columbia	1				
Dane	2				
Door			1		
Dunn		2			
Eau Claire		4			
Fond du Lac				1	
Kenosha				1	
Kewaunee			1		
Langlade					1
Marinette					1
Milwaukee				3	
Oconto			2		
Oneida					1
Outagamie			3		
Pierce		3			
Portage					1
Price					1
Racine				1	
Rock	2				
Rusk		2			
St. Croix		2			
Sheboygan			1		
Trempealeau		2			
Vilas					1
Washington				6	
Waukesha	3				
Waupaca			1		
Law Enforcement					1-Oneida
Public Defender	4	2	2	3	1
DHFS	1	1	1	2	1
DPI	2				
Clinicare Corp		1			
DA Office	1-Waukesha			1-Milw	1-Price

	2-Dane					1-Langlade
WCCF	1					
CCI/RCC's	1-Norris		1-St.Charles	1-LadLake		1-Rawhide
Judges	1-Dane			1-Milw		1-Marathon
LFB	1					
WAFCA	1			1		
Public Schools				1-MilwPub		
(County Line Staff)	2	10	2	7		3
(County Supervisors)	6	6	7	4		3
(County Directors)		1	1	1		
TOTAL	23	21	14	22		13

NOTE: County Agency Employees are in parenthesis and are counted once in the total
30 Counties were represented